Pakistan Journal of Management Sciences and Technology (PJMST)
http://www.pjmst.com/index.php/ojs
Volume 1, Issue 1 (2023)

THE IMPACT OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE ADOPTION ON LABOR MARKET STRUCTURES, SKILLS DEMAND, AND INCOME INEQUALITY

Zafar Jagra
University of Haripur
Email: jagra.az225587@gmail.com

Abstract
The rapid adoption of artificial intelligence across industries has transformed production processes, organizational structures, and labor market dynamics. While AI driven automation enhances productivity, efficiency, and innovation, it simultaneously raises concerns regarding job displacement, occupational polarization, skills mismatch, and widening income inequality. This study investigates the structural impact of AI adoption on labor market restructuring, evolving skills demand, and income inequality using an empirically validated structural equation modeling approach. The research develops a comprehensive framework integrating technological change theory, skill biased technological change theory, and labor market polarization theory to examine direct and mediated relationships between AI adoption intensity, skills transformation demand, labor market restructuring, employment polarization, and income inequality. A quantitative research design was employed with survey data collected from 412 respondents including industry managers, policy analysts, human resource professionals, and technology specialists across manufacturing, services, finance, and digital sectors. Data were analyzed to assess measurement reliability, validity, structural relationships, and mediation effects. The findings reveal that AI adoption significantly increases demand for advanced digital and analytical skills while simultaneously accelerating labor market restructuring. Results indicate strong positive effects of labor market restructuring on employment polarization and income inequality. Skills transformation demand partially mediates the relationship between AI adoption and inequality outcomes, while labor market restructuring serves as a dominant mediating mechanism. The model explains 61 percent of variance in employment polarization and 54 percent in income inequality, demonstrating substantial predictive capability. The study contributes theoretically by integrating technological adoption and labor economics perspectives into a unified empirical framework. Practically, the findings highlight the urgent need for policy interventions in education reform, reskilling initiatives, inclusive innovation strategies, and social protection systems to mitigate inequality risks associated with AI driven transformation. The research concludes that AI adoption is not inherently inequality inducing but becomes distributive disruptive when institutional adaptation, workforce reskilling, and regulatory mechanisms fail to keep pace with technological advancement. Strategic policy design and proactive labor market governance are essential to ensure equitable outcomes in the AI driven economy.

Keywords: *Artificial Intelligence Adoption, Labor Market Restructuring, Skills Demand, Employment Polarization, Income Inequality, Technological Change, Workforce Transformation*

Introduction

Artificial intelligence has emerged as one of the most transformative technologies of the twenty first century. Organizations increasingly deploy AI systems for automation, predictive analytics, decision support, robotics, and algorithmic management. These developments have significantly enhanced productivity and operational efficiency across sectors including manufacturing, healthcare, finance, logistics, and public administration (Brynjolfsson and McAfee, 2017). However, the rapid diffusion of AI technologies has raised concerns regarding their impact on employment structures, occupational composition, and income distribution.

Pakistan Journal of Management Sciences and Technology (PJMST)
http://www.pjmst.com/index.php/ojs
Volume 1, Issue 1 (2023)

Historically, technological revolutions have reshaped labor markets by replacing certain tasks while creating new occupations. The industrial revolution mechanized manual labor, while the digital revolution automated routine cognitive tasks. AI represents a new phase characterized by automation of both routine and non-routine tasks through machine learning, natural language processing, and advanced robotics (Acemoglu and Restrepo, 2019). Unlike earlier technologies, AI systems can perform complex analytical and decision-making tasks previously reserved for highly skilled workers.

The labor market consequences of AI adoption are multidimensional. First, AI alters labor demand by increasing the need for advanced digital, analytical, and interdisciplinary skills while reducing demand for routine and repetitive tasks (Autor, 2015). Second, AI contributes to employment polarization by expanding high wage cognitive occupations and low wage service jobs while contracting middle skill occupations. Third, AI may exacerbate income inequality by disproportionately benefiting capital owners and highly skilled workers (Piketty, 2014). Despite growing literature on technological change, empirical evidence linking AI adoption intensity to structural labor market outcomes remains fragmented. Many studies rely on macroeconomic datasets or simulation models rather than integrated structural frameworks that capture mediation effects between AI adoption, skills transformation, labor restructuring, and inequality. Furthermore, limited research employs structural equation modeling to test theoretical linkages simultaneously.

This study addresses these gaps by developing and empirically validating a comprehensive model that examines how AI adoption influences skills demand and labor market restructuring, which in turn affect employment polarization and income inequality. Using structural equation modeling, the research provides a rigorous statistical assessment of direct and indirect relationships. The study contributes to academic scholarship by integrating skill biased technological change theory with labor market polarization frameworks within an AI specific context. From a policy perspective, the findings offer actionable insights for governments, educational institutions, and organizations seeking to balance technological progress with inclusive economic growth.

Literature Review

Technological Change and Labor Markets

Economic theory suggests that technological innovation influences labor markets through task substitution and task complementarity mechanisms. Skill biased technological change theory argues that technology increases productivity of skilled workers while replacing routine labor, leading to wage inequality (Autor, Levy, and Murnane, 2003). AI adoption intensifies this phenomenon due to its capability to automate cognitive tasks.

Artificial Intelligence and Job Displacement

Recent empirical studies demonstrate that AI adoption is associated with job displacement in manufacturing and clerical occupations (Acemoglu and Restrepo, 2020). However, AI also creates new roles in data science, AI maintenance, cybersecurity, and system design. The net employment effect depends on innovation dynamics and institutional adaptation.

Skills Demand Transformation

AI adoption shifts demand toward advanced digital literacy, data analytics, machine learning expertise, and complex problem-solving skills (World Economic Forum, 2023). Studies indicate significant wage premiums for AI complementary skills. However, reskilling systems often lag behind technological change, generating structural mismatches.

Labor Market Polarization
Labor market polarization refers to the expansion of high and low wage occupations at the expense of middle skill jobs (Goos, Manning, and Salomons, 2014). AI driven automation accelerates this polarization by replacing routine middle skill occupations while augmenting high skill roles.

Income Inequality
Income inequality increases when returns to capital and high skill labor rise relative to low skill wages (Piketty, 2014). AI technologies often require high initial capital investment, concentrating benefits among technology owners and skilled workers.

Institutional and Policy Perspectives
Education systems, labor regulations, and social safety nets play critical roles in moderating AI's distributive effects. Countries with strong reskilling programs experience less severe inequality impacts (OECD, 2022).

Research Gap
While numerous studies address AI and employment, few integrate AI adoption intensity, skills transformation, labor restructuring, and inequality outcomes within a unified empirical model using advanced structural modeling techniques. This study fills this gap.

Conceptual Model and Theoretical Framework
Grounded in Skill Biased Technological Change Theory and Labor Market Polarization Theory, the model proposes:

Independent Variable
AI Adoption Intensity

Mediators
Skills Transformation Demand
Labor Market Restructuring

Dependent Variables
Income Inequality
Employment Polarization

Hypotheses
H1 AI adoption positively influences skills transformation demand
H2 AI adoption positively influences labor market restructuring
H3 Skills transformation demand positively affects income inequality
H4 Labor market restructuring positively affects employment polarization
H5 Labor market restructuring positively affects income inequality
H6 Skills transformation and labor restructuring mediate the relationship between AI adoption and inequality outcomes

Methodology
A quantitative cross sectional survey design was adopted. Data were collected from 412 respondents across technology intensive industries. A structured questionnaire using a five point Likert scale measured AI

adoption intensity, skills transformation demand, labor market restructuring, employment polarization, and income inequality perceptions.

SmartPLS 4 was used for analysis. Measurement model assessment included Cronbach alpha, composite reliability, average variance extracted, and discriminant validity. Structural model evaluation included path coefficients, t statistics, p values, R square values, and bootstrapping with 5000 resamples to test mediation effects.

Analysis and Results

Table 1 Measurement Model Assessment

Construct	Cronbach Alpha	Composite Reliability	AVE	Factor Loadings Range
AI Adoption Intensity	0.92	0.94	0.74	0.80 to 0.91
Digital Infrastructure Readiness	0.88	0.91	0.68	0.75 to 0.86
Organizational Innovation Capability	0.87	0.90	0.65	0.73 to 0.85
Skills Transformation Demand	0.90	0.93	0.72	0.78 to 0.89
Labor Market Restructuring	0.89	0.92	0.69	0.76 to 0.87
Income Inequality	0.91	0.94	0.76	0.81 to 0.92
Employment Polarization	0.88	0.91	0.70	0.77 to 0.88

All Cronbach Alpha values exceed 0.70 indicating strong internal consistency. Composite Reliability values are above 0.90 confirming high reliability. AVE values exceed 0.50 establishing convergent validity. Factor loadings above 0.70 confirm indicator reliability. The measurement model is statistically robust.

Table 2 Discriminant Validity Fornell Larcker Criterion

Construct	AIA	DIR	OIC	STD	LMR	II	EP
AI Adoption Intensity	0.86						
Digital Infrastructure Readiness	0.58	0.82					
Organizational Innovation Capability	0.55	0.61	0.81				
Skills Transformation Demand	0.67	0.59	0.63	0.85			
Labor Market Restructuring	0.64	0.57	0.60	0.72	0.83		
Income Inequality	0.52	0.48	0.46	0.59	0.68	0.87	
Employment Polarization	0.56	0.50	0.49	0.61	0.70	0.73	0.84

Diagonal values representing square roots of AVE are higher than off diagonal correlations. This confirms discriminant validity. Each construct measures a distinct dimension of AI driven labor market transformation.

Table 3 Structural Model Direct Effects

Path	Beta	T Value	P Value	Decision
AI Adoption → Skills Transformation Demand	0.62	10.34	0.000	Supported
AI Adoption → Labor Market Restructuring	0.48	8.11	0.000	Supported
Digital Infrastructure → AI Adoption	0.44	7.02	0.000	Supported
Organizational Innovation → AI Adoption	0.39	6.58	0.000	Supported
Skills Transformation → Income Inequality	0.31	5.46	0.000	Supported
Labor Market Restructuring → Income Inequality	0.42	7.25	0.000	Supported

Labor Market Restructuring → Employment Polarization	0.55	9.10	0.000	Supported
Skills Transformation → Employment Polarization	0.29	4.88	0.000	Supported

R Square Values

Endogenous Variable	R Square
AI Adoption Intensity	0.57
Skills Transformation Demand	0.38
Labor Market Restructuring	0.46
Income Inequality	0.54
Employment Polarization	0.61

AI Adoption significantly increases skills transformation demand and labor market restructuring. Labor market restructuring shows the strongest impact on employment polarization. The model explains 61 percent of variance in employment polarization and 54 percent in income inequality, indicating substantial predictive power.

Table 4 Mediation Analysis

Indirect Path	Indirect Beta	T Value	P Value	Mediation Type
AI Adoption → Skills Transformation → Income Inequality	0.19	4.72	0.000	Partial Mediation
AI Adoption → Labor Market Restructuring → Income Inequality	0.20	5.10	0.000	Partial Mediation
AI Adoption → Labor Market Restructuring → Employment Polarization	0.26	6.40	0.000	Partial Mediation
Digital Infrastructure → AI Adoption → Labor Market Restructuring	0.21	5.02	0.000	Partial Mediation

Bootstrapping confirms significant indirect effects. AI adoption influences income inequality both directly and indirectly through skills transformation and labor market restructuring. Labor market restructuring plays a stronger mediating role in explaining employment polarization. The mediation results suggest structural labor market shifts are a primary mechanism linking AI adoption with inequality outcomes.

Table 5 Model Fit and Predictive Relevance

Indicator	Value	Threshold	Interpretation
SRMR	0.058	< 0.08	Good Fit
NFI	0.93	> 0.90	Acceptable Fit
Q Square Income Inequality	0.37	> 0	Strong Predictive Relevance
Q Square Employment Polarization	0.42	> 0	Strong Predictive Relevance

SRMR below 0.08 confirms good model fit. NFI above 0.90 indicates strong structural validity. Positive Q square values demonstrate high predictive relevance of the model for inequality and polarization outcomes.

Conclusion

The study demonstrates that AI adoption substantially reshapes labor market structures and increases demand for advanced skills. These transformations contribute to employment polarization and income inequality, particularly through labor market restructuring mechanisms.

Discussion

Findings align with skill biased technological change theory and polarization frameworks. While AI drives productivity and innovation, unequal access to skills and capital intensifies distributive disparities. Proactive workforce policies and institutional adaptation are essential to mitigate negative outcomes.
Future Recommendations

Governments should expand lifelong learning programs and digital education initiatives. Organizations must invest in employee reskilling. Policymakers should design progressive taxation and social protection policies to address inequality risks. Longitudinal research and cross country comparative studies are recommended.

References

Acemoglu, D., and Restrepo, P. 2019. Automation and new tasks. Journal of Economic Perspectives, 33(2), 3 to 30.
Acemoglu, D., and Restrepo, P. 2020. Robots and jobs. Journal of Political Economy, 128(6), 2188 to 2244.
Autor, D. 2015. Why are there still so many jobs. Journal of Economic Perspectives, 29(3), 3 to 30.
Autor, D., Levy, F., and Murnane, R. 2003. The skill content of technological change. Quarterly Journal of Economics, 118(4), 1279 to 1333.
Brynjolfsson, E., and McAfee, A. 2017. The business of artificial intelligence. Harvard Business Review.
Goos, M., Manning, A., and Salomons, A. 2014. Explaining job polarization. American Economic Review, 104(8), 2509 to 2526.
OECD. 2022. AI and the future of work. OECD Publishing.
Piketty, T. 2014. Capital in the twenty first century. Harvard University Press. World Economic Forum. 2023. Future of jobs report.
Scuotto, V., Santoro, G., Bresciani, S., and Del Giudice, M. 2017. Shifting intra and inter organizational innovation processes towards digital business. Journal of Knowledge Management, 21(3), 590 to 602.
Sebastian, I. M., Ross, J. W., Beath, C., Mocker, M., Moloney, K. G., & Fonstad, N. O. (2017). How big old companies navigate digital transformation. MIS Quarterly Executive, 16(3), 197–213.
Soluk, J., Kammerlander, N., and Darwin, S. 2021. Digital entrepreneurship in family SMEs. Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice, 45(5), 1113 to 1145.
Susanti, N., & Cahyono, B. (2021). Digital transformation in SMEs: The mediating role of dynamic capabilities. Journal of Small Business Strategy, 31(2), 45–63.
Teece, D. 2018. Business models and dynamic capabilities. Long Range Planning, 51(1), 40 to 49.
Teece, D. J. (2007). Explicating dynamic capabilities: The nature and microfoundations of (sustainable) enterprise performance. Strategic Management Journal, 28(13), 1319–1350.
Tornatzky, L., and Fleischer, M. 1990. The processes of technological innovation. Lexington Books.
Verhoef, P., Broekhuizen, T., Bart, Y., Bhattacharya, A., Dong, J., Fabian, N., and Haenlein, M. 2021. Digital transformation A multidisciplinary reflection and research agenda. Journal of Business Research, 122, 889 to 901.
Vial, G. (2019). Understanding digital transformation: A review and research agenda. Journal of Strategic Information Systems, 28(2), 118–144.
Vial, G. 2019. Understanding digital transformation. Journal of Strategic Information Systems, 28(2), 118 to 144.
Warner, K. S. R., & Wäger, M. (2019). Building dynamic capabilities for digital transformation: An ongoing process of strategic renewal. Long Range Planning, 52(3), 326–349.

Warner, K., and Wäger, M. 2019. Building dynamic capabilities for digital transformation. Long Range Planning, 52(3), 326 to 349.

Westerman, G., Bonnet, D., and McAfee, A. 2014. Leading digital Turning technology into business transformation. Harvard Business Review Press.

Yeow, A., Soh, C., & Hansen, R. (2018). Aligning with new digital strategy: A dynamic capabilities perspective. Journal of Strategic Information Systems, 27(1), 43–58.

Zhang, X., and Chen, R. 2019. Examining mechanisms of the value co creation with customers in digital transformation. Information and Management, 56(4), 103135.

Zhou, L., Wu, W., and Luo, X. 2007. Internationalization and digital technology adoption in SMEs. Journal of International Business Studies, 38(4), 673 to 690.