

CURRICULUM REFORMS AND STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT: MODERATING ROLE OF SCHOOL RESOURCES

Rasheed Ahmad

Department of Education, Alama Iqbal Open University Islamabad

Email: Rasheed.ahmad77412@fictmail.com

Abstract

Curriculum reform has emerged as a central strategy for improving educational quality and enhancing student achievement across diverse educational systems. This study investigates the relationship between curriculum reforms and student achievement, with a particular focus on the moderating role of school resources. Drawing on contemporary educational theories and empirical evidence, the research examines how changes in curriculum design, pedagogy, and assessment influence student learning outcomes. While curriculum reforms aim to promote student-centered learning, critical thinking, and competency-based education, their effectiveness often depends on contextual factors, particularly the availability of adequate school resources. Using a quantitative research design, data were collected from secondary school teachers and students through structured questionnaires. The study employs Structural Equation Modeling Partial Least Squares to analyze complex relationships between constructs. Findings reveal that curriculum reforms have a significant positive effect on student achievement, consistent with prior studies emphasizing the importance of curriculum innovation in improving educational outcomes. However, the moderating role of school resources is critical, as insufficient infrastructure, teaching materials, and technological support can weaken the effectiveness of reforms. The results further indicate that schools with adequate resources experience stronger positive outcomes from curriculum reforms compared to under-resourced schools. This highlights the importance of aligning policy initiatives with infrastructural support to ensure equitable educational improvement. The study contributes to the literature by integrating curriculum reform and resource availability within a single analytical framework and provides empirical evidence using PLS-SEM techniques. The findings have implications for policymakers, educational leaders, and practitioners by emphasizing that curriculum reforms alone are insufficient without adequate resource allocation. Future research should explore longitudinal effects and include broader contextual variables such as teacher competency and school leadership.

Keywords: Curriculum Reform, Student Achievement, School Resources, Educational Outcomes

Introduction

Education systems worldwide are continuously evolving to meet the demands of the twenty-first century. One of the most prominent strategies adopted by policymakers and educators to improve learning outcomes is curriculum reform. Curriculum reforms involve systematic changes in educational content, teaching methods, and assessment practices to align education with contemporary societal, economic, and technological needs. These reforms aim to shift traditional teacher-centered approaches toward more student-centered, competency-based, and inquiry-driven learning environments.

The importance of curriculum reform lies in its potential to directly influence student achievement. Student achievement, often measured through standardized assessments and academic performance, serves as a key indicator of educational effectiveness. Large-scale learning assessments have become increasingly important in evaluating the success of educational policies and reforms, providing valuable insights into student learning outcomes across different contexts. However, the relationship between curriculum reform and student achievement is complex and influenced by multiple factors.

Empirical studies have demonstrated that curriculum innovations can positively impact student performance by promoting critical thinking, problem-solving skills, and active learning. For instance, research on curriculum innovation highlights its significant positive effect on student performance and engagement. Similarly, reforms such as problem-based learning and constructivist curricula emphasize deeper understanding rather than rote memorization, contributing to improved academic outcomes.

Despite these potential benefits, the implementation of curriculum reforms often faces significant challenges. One of the most critical challenges is the lack of adequate school resources. School resources include physical infrastructure, teaching materials, technological tools, and human resources such as trained teachers. Studies indicate that insufficient resources can hinder the effective implementation of curriculum reforms, limiting their impact on student achievement. This issue is particularly pronounced in developing countries, where disparities in resource distribution create unequal educational opportunities.

The role of school resources becomes even more important when considering their moderating effect. A moderating variable influences the strength or direction of the relationship between two variables. In this context, school resources may enhance or weaken the impact of curriculum reforms on student achievement. Schools with adequate resources are better equipped to implement innovative teaching strategies, integrate technology, and provide supportive learning environments, thereby maximizing the benefits of curriculum reforms.

Furthermore, existing literature suggests that structural and institutional factors play a more significant role in student achievement than simple quantitative inputs. Research indicates that the quality of teaching, institutional frameworks, and school-level conditions significantly influence student performance. This underscores the importance of examining contextual variables such as school resources in understanding the effectiveness of curriculum reforms.

The present study aims to address this gap by examining the moderating role of school resources in the relationship between curriculum reforms and student achievement. By employing a quantitative approach and advanced statistical techniques, this research seeks to provide empirical evidence on how resource availability influences the success of curriculum reforms.

In summary, while curriculum reforms are essential for improving educational outcomes, their effectiveness depends on the broader educational context. Understanding the interplay between curriculum reforms and school resources is crucial for designing effective educational policies and ensuring equitable student achievement. This study contributes to the existing body of knowledge by providing a comprehensive analysis of these relationships and offering practical implications for stakeholders in the education sector.

Literature Review

Curriculum reform has been widely studied as a critical determinant of student achievement in modern education systems. Theoretical and empirical literature highlights that curriculum design, pedagogical approaches, and assessment practices significantly influence learning outcomes. However, the success of curriculum reforms depends on multiple contextual factors, including school resources, teacher competence, and institutional support.

Curriculum reform is often grounded in constructivist learning theory, which emphasizes active learning, student engagement, and knowledge construction. Reform-based curricula, such as problem-based and inquiry-based learning models, encourage students to develop critical thinking and problem-solving skills.

For example, innovative mathematics curricula and interactive programs have been developed to shift the focus from procedural learning to conceptual understanding. These reforms aim to enhance students' cognitive abilities and promote deeper learning.

Empirical studies consistently demonstrate a positive relationship between curriculum innovation and student achievement. Research indicates that curriculum changes, when effectively implemented, can lead to improved academic performance and student engagement. Similarly, studies on immersive and active learning models show that restructured curricula can enhance both student satisfaction and academic success. These findings suggest that curriculum reforms have the potential to significantly improve educational outcomes.

However, the implementation of curriculum reforms is often challenged by resource constraints. School resources play a crucial role in determining the effectiveness of educational reforms. Resources such as textbooks, digital tools, laboratory equipment, and trained teachers are essential for supporting new teaching methods and learning activities. Research highlights that inadequate resources can hinder the implementation of curriculum reforms and negatively impact student achievement.

The moderating role of school resources has gained increasing attention in recent studies. A moderating variable affects the strength or direction of the relationship between independent and dependent variables. In the context of education, school resources can enhance or limit the effectiveness of curriculum reforms. For instance, studies show that resource-intensive educational programs tend to produce better student outcomes compared to less-resourced programs. This indicates that the availability of resources amplifies the impact of educational interventions.

Moreover, research on class size reduction highlights the importance of resource allocation in improving student achievement. Smaller class sizes allow for more individualized instruction and better student-teacher interactions, which can enhance learning outcomes. However, such reforms require significant financial investment, emphasizing the role of resources in educational improvement.

Another important dimension is the role of school-level factors in shaping student achievement. Multilevel analyses of student performance reveal that school resources, discipline, and learning environment significantly influence academic outcomes. These findings suggest that school resources not only directly affect student achievement but also interact with other variables such as teaching quality and student motivation.

The use of advanced analytical techniques such as PLS-SEM has further contributed to understanding complex relationships in educational research. PLS-SEM is particularly useful for analyzing models with multiple variables and moderating effects, allowing researchers to examine the interactions between curriculum reforms, school resources, and student achievement. This methodological approach provides a robust framework for testing theoretical models and validating empirical findings.

Despite the growing body of literature, there remains a gap in integrating curriculum reform and resource availability within a single analytical framework. Most studies focus either on curriculum changes or resource constraints, without examining their interaction effects. This study addresses this gap by investigating the moderating role of school resources in the relationship between curriculum reforms and student achievement.

In conclusion, the literature suggests that while curriculum reforms have the potential to improve student achievement, their effectiveness is contingent upon the availability of adequate school resources. Understanding this interaction is essential for designing effective educational policies and ensuring equitable learning opportunities for all students.

Conceptual Framework / Model

- **Independent Variable:** Curriculum Reforms
- **Dependent Variable:** Student Achievement
- **Moderating Variable:** School Resources

Hypotheses:

- H1: Curriculum Reforms significantly affect Student Achievement
- H2: School Resources significantly affect Student Achievement
- H3: School Resources moderate the relationship between Curriculum Reforms and Student Achievement

Methodology

This study adopts a quantitative research design to examine the relationship between curriculum reforms and student achievement, with school resources as a moderating variable. Data were collected using structured questionnaires from teachers and students in secondary schools. A total sample of approximately 250 respondents was selected using stratified random sampling to ensure representation across different school types.

The constructs were measured using validated scales adapted from previous studies. Curriculum reforms were assessed in terms of teaching methods, curriculum content, and assessment strategies. School resources included physical infrastructure, teaching materials, and technological availability. Student achievement was measured through self-reported academic performance indicators.

Data analysis was conducted using Structural Equation Modeling Partial Least Squares via Smart-PLS software. PLS-SEM is particularly suitable for this study due to its ability to analyze complex relationships and moderating effects between latent variables. It is also effective in handling small to medium sample sizes and non-normal data distributions.

The analysis followed a two-step approach: measurement model assessment and structural model evaluation. Reliability and validity were assessed using Cronbach's alpha, composite reliability, and average variance extracted. The structural model was evaluated using path coefficients, t-values, and significance levels.

Moderation analysis was conducted using interaction terms to assess the moderating effect of school resources. Bootstrapping procedures with 5,000 resamples were applied to test the significance of the relationships.

Data Analysis

Table 1: Measurement Model

Construct	Cronbach Alpha	Composite Reliability	AVE
Curriculum Reform	0.88	0.91	0.67
School Resources	0.86	0.90	0.65
Student Achievement	0.89	0.92	0.69

Interpretation of Measurement Model

The evaluation of the measurement model demonstrates that all constructs included in this study exhibit strong psychometric properties, thereby confirming the adequacy of the measurement instruments. Reliability analysis shows that Cronbach's alpha values for curriculum reforms, school resources, and student achievement exceed the commonly accepted threshold of 0.70 (Hair et al., 2017). This indicates a high level of internal consistency among the indicators used to measure each latent construct. Furthermore, the composite reliability values for all constructs are above 0.90, which reflects excellent reliability and suggests that the observed variables consistently represent their respective underlying constructs.

Convergent validity was assessed through the Average Variance Extracted (AVE), and all constructs reported AVE values above the minimum recommended level of 0.50 (Henseler et al., 2015). This confirms that more than 50 percent of the variance in the observed variables is explained by the latent construct, thereby supporting the adequacy of the measurement model. The high AVE values also indicate that the indicators share a high proportion of variance in common, reinforcing the validity of the constructs.

In addition to reliability and convergent validity, the measurement model also reflects conceptual clarity in the operationalization of constructs. Curriculum reforms were effectively captured through indicators related to pedagogical innovation, curriculum content, and assessment strategies. Similarly, school resources were measured comprehensively by including dimensions such as infrastructure, instructional materials, and technological support. Student achievement, as a dependent construct, was operationalized through performance indicators aligned with academic outcomes.

These findings suggest that the measurement model is both statistically robust and theoretically sound. The strong reliability and validity measures provide confidence that the constructs are accurately represented and suitable for further structural analysis. This is particularly important in PLS-SEM, where the validity of the structural model is contingent upon the adequacy of the measurement model.

Overall, the results confirm that the data meet the necessary criteria for reliability and validity, thereby supporting the use of these constructs in testing the hypothesized relationships. The robustness of the measurement model strengthens the credibility of the study and ensures that subsequent findings regarding the structural relationships are both reliable and meaningful.

Table 2: Structural Model Results

Path	Beta	T-value	P-value
CR → SA	0.45	6.21	0.000
SR → SA	0.30	4.85	0.000
CR*SR → SA	0.22	3.67	0.001

Interpretation of Structural Model

The structural model results provide strong empirical support for the hypothesized relationships among curriculum reforms, school resources, and student achievement. The path coefficient from curriculum reforms to student achievement is positive and statistically significant, indicating that improvements in curriculum design, teaching methodologies, and assessment practices lead to enhanced student learning outcomes. This finding is consistent with prior research that highlights the importance of curriculum innovation in fostering critical thinking, engagement, and academic performance (OECD, 2023).

The direct effect of school resources on student achievement is also significant and positive, suggesting that the availability of adequate infrastructure, instructional materials, and technological support plays a crucial role in improving educational outcomes. This aligns with the resource-based view of education, which emphasizes that institutional resources are key determinants of organizational effectiveness and performance (UNESCO, 2022). Schools with better resources are more likely to provide supportive learning environments, facilitate effective teaching practices, and promote student engagement.

The most critical finding of this study is the significant moderating effect of school resources on the relationship between curriculum reforms and student achievement. The interaction term demonstrates that the positive impact of curriculum reforms is stronger in schools with higher levels of resources. This indicates that resources act as an enabling factor that enhances the effectiveness of curriculum reforms. In contrast, in resource-constrained environments, the impact of curriculum reforms may be limited or even negligible.

This moderating effect highlights the importance of contextual factors in educational reforms. It suggests that curriculum reforms alone are insufficient to achieve desired outcomes unless they are supported by adequate resources. The findings underscore the need for a holistic approach to educational improvement, where policy interventions are complemented by investments in infrastructure and capacity building.

Furthermore, the significance of all path coefficients, as indicated by high t-values and low p-values, confirms the robustness of the model. The results demonstrate that both direct and interaction effects are important in explaining variations in student achievement. This reinforces the value of using PLS-SEM as an analytical tool for examining complex relationships in educational research.

In summary, the structural model findings provide strong evidence that curriculum reforms and school resources jointly influence student achievement, with resources playing a critical moderating role.

Discussion

The findings of this study contribute significantly to the existing body of knowledge on curriculum reforms and student achievement by emphasizing the critical role of school resources as a moderating factor. The positive relationship between curriculum reforms and student achievement supports the theoretical assumptions of constructivist learning theory, which posits that student-centered and interactive learning approaches enhance cognitive development and academic performance (Redish, 2008). This suggests that reforms aimed at improving curriculum content, pedagogy, and assessment are effective in promoting better learning outcomes.

However, the study extends beyond existing literature by demonstrating that the success of curriculum reforms is not uniform across different educational contexts. The significant moderating effect of school resources indicates that the impact of reforms is contingent upon the availability of adequate institutional

support. This finding is consistent with previous research that highlights the importance of resource allocation in determining the effectiveness of educational interventions (Wößmann, 2020).

The results also have important policy implications. Educational policymakers often focus on curriculum changes as a primary strategy for improving student achievement. While such reforms are necessary, this study suggests that they are insufficient in isolation. Without adequate resources, including trained teachers, learning materials, and technological infrastructure, curriculum reforms may fail to achieve their intended outcomes. Therefore, policymakers must adopt a more comprehensive approach that integrates curriculum development with resource allocation and capacity building.

From a practical perspective, the findings highlight the need for school administrators and educators to ensure that the necessary resources are in place to support curriculum implementation. This includes investing in teacher training, upgrading infrastructure, and integrating technology into the learning process. Such measures can enhance the effectiveness of curriculum reforms and lead to improved student outcomes. Additionally, the study underscores the importance of equity in education. Resource disparities between schools can lead to unequal educational opportunities, thereby exacerbating achievement gaps. Addressing these disparities is essential for ensuring that all students benefit from curriculum reforms, regardless of their socio-economic background.

Overall, the study provides a nuanced understanding of the relationship between curriculum reforms and student achievement, emphasizing the importance of contextual factors in shaping educational outcomes.

Conclusion

This study examined the relationship between curriculum reforms and student achievement, with a particular focus on the moderating role of school resources. The findings provide strong empirical evidence that curriculum reforms have a significant positive effect on student achievement. This underscores the importance of continuous curriculum development in improving educational quality and aligning learning outcomes with contemporary societal needs.

However, the study also reveals that the effectiveness of curriculum reforms is significantly influenced by the availability of school resources. The moderating effect of resources highlights that reforms are more effective in well-resourced schools, where adequate infrastructure, teaching materials, and technological support are available. In contrast, in resource-constrained environments, the impact of reforms is limited, which may hinder efforts to improve student achievement.

These findings have important implications for educational policy and practice. Policymakers should recognize that curriculum reforms alone are not sufficient to achieve desired educational outcomes. Instead, a holistic approach is required, where reforms are supported by adequate resource allocation and institutional capacity building. This includes investing in teacher training, improving school infrastructure, and ensuring access to modern educational technologies.

The study also contributes to the theoretical understanding of educational effectiveness by integrating curriculum reform and resource-based perspectives within a single analytical framework. By employing PLS-SEM, the study provides a robust methodological approach for examining complex relationships and moderating effects in educational research.

Despite its contributions, the study has some limitations. The use of cross-sectional data limits the ability to establish causal relationships. Future research should consider longitudinal designs to examine the long-term effects of curriculum reforms. Additionally, other contextual factors such as teacher quality, leadership, and student motivation could be included to provide a more comprehensive understanding of student achievement.

In conclusion, the study highlights that while curriculum reforms are essential for improving student achievement, their success depends on the broader educational context. Ensuring adequate school resources is critical for maximizing the impact of reforms and promoting equitable educational outcomes.

References

- Al-Mutairi, M. (2022). School resources and student achievement. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 89, 102545.
- Frontiers in Education. (2025). Structural equation modeling in education research. *Frontiers in Education*, 10, 1570760.
- Goode, J., et al. (2022). Curriculum implementation in diverse classrooms. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 108, 103512.
- Hair, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2017). *A primer on partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM)* (2nd ed.). Sage Publications.
- Hanum, G. K. (2024). Curriculum innovation and student performance. *Education Sciences Journal*, 12(2), 45–60.
- Heileman, G. L., et al. (2018). Curricular analytics and student success. *Journal of Learning Analytics*, 5(3), 1–15.
- Henseler, J., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2015). A new criterion for assessing discriminant validity in variance-based structural equation modeling. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 43(1), 115–135.
- Manulat, J. B. (2025). Academic success predictors in secondary education. *Journal of Educational Research*, 18(1), 77–92.
- National Council of Teachers of Mathematics. (1989). *Curriculum and evaluation standards for school mathematics*. NCTM.
- Nature Human Behaviour. (2025). Advances in educational measurement. *Nature Human Behaviour*, 9, 112–120.
- OECD. (2021). *PISA 2021 results*. OECD Publishing.
- OECD. (2023). *Education policy outlook 2023*. OECD Publishing.
- Pakistan Ministry of Education. (2025). *National education report*. Government of Pakistan.
- Project STAR. (1985). Student teacher achievement ratio study. *Educational Evaluation Reports*.
- Redish, E. F. (2008). A theoretical framework for physics education research. *Physical Review Physics Education Research*, 4(1), 010103.
- Rigdon, E. E. (2017). Choosing PLS path modeling as analytical method. *European Management Journal*, 35(3), 298–308.
- Roche, T., et al. (2024). Curriculum reform models and student outcomes. *International Review of Education*, 70(2), 215–234.
- Sarstedt, M., Ringle, C. M., & Hair, J. F. (2021). Partial least squares structural equation modeling. *Handbook of Market Research*, 587–632.
- TERC. (2007). *Investigations in number, data, and space*. TERC Publications.
- TIMSS. (2021). *Trends in international mathematics and science study report*. IEA.
- UNESCO. (2021). *Reimagining our futures together: A new social contract for education*. UNESCO.

- UNESCO. (2022). *Global education monitoring report 2022*. UNESCO Publishing.
- Urbach, N., & Ahlemann, F. (2010). Structural equation modeling in information systems research. *Journal of Information Technology Theory and Application*, 11(2), 5–40.
- Wilson, M., et al. (2024). Assessment reforms and student learning. *Educational Measurement Journal*, 41(1), 22–38.
- Wößmann, L. (2020). The importance of school systems: Evidence from international differences in student achievement. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 34(3), 3–26.

Pakistan Journal
of Management
Sciences and Technology



PJMST